IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

JUAN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	No. 05 C 1042
)	
REYNALDO GUEVARA, and the CITY)	Judge Grady
OF CHICAGO,)	Magistrate Judge Masor
)	
Defendants.)	

DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 10

DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE TO BAR EVIDENCE OR SUGGESTION THAT DEFENDANT GUEVARA IS INDEMNIFIED

Defendants Reynaldo Guevara and the City of Chicago ("City"), by their attorneys, James G. Sotos and Elizabeth A. Ekl of James G. Sotos & Associates, Ltd., move this Honorable Court for an Order *in limine* barring Plaintiff from offering any testimony, evidence, or argument indicating that Defendant Guevara may be indemnified by the City in this action. In support thereof, Defendants state:

Evidence is admissible at trial only if it makes the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence. FED. R. EVID. 401; *People v. Lewis*, 165 Ill.2d 305, 329 (1995).

Moreover, even when evidence is relevant, it should be excluded if its prejudicial effect substantially outweighs its probative value. FED. R. EVID. 403; *Id*.

Thus, the reasons for excluding other sources of payment for a judgment are twofold. First, the identity of possible sources to pay a judgment is not relevant to the issue of liability. *See Via v. Lagrand*, No. 03 C 3278, 2007 WL 495287, at *4 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2007) (holding

that evidence of indemnification is irrelevant), and FED. R. EVID. 411 (evidence of insurance coverage is irrelevant). Whether or not the City indemnifies Defendant Guevara is completely irrelevant to the issue of whether Defendant Guevara is liable for any acts he may have performed that proximately caused an injury to Plaintiff. Second, such information can be sufficiently prejudicial to deny a defendant a fair trial because it may influence a jury to find in favor of a plaintiff and further, to give the plaintiff a higher award than it otherwise would by reasoning that an insurance company, with "deep pockets" can afford a larger verdict. Via v. Lagrand, supra; Townsend v. Benya, 287 F.Supp.2d 868, 874 (N.D. Ill. 2003) (evidence of indemnification by the City is "too prejudicial to survive the Rule 403 balancing test); see also, Saunders v. City of Chicago, 320 F.Supp.2d 735, 738 (N.D. Ill. 2004).

Thus, Plaintiff should not be permitted to introduce evidence directly or indirectly that Defendant Guevara may be indemnified by the City because it is irrelevant and because it presents the very real danger of unfair prejudice. As the Seventh Circuit noted in *Lawson v. Trowbridge*, 153 F.3d 368, 379 (7th Cir. 1998): "In the general case courts exclude evidence of indemnification out of a fear that it will encourage a jury to inflate its damages award because it knows the government – not the individual defendants – is footing the bill." *See also, Larez v. Holcomb*, 16 F.3d 1513, 1518 (9th Cir. 1994) (holding that instructions to jury on indemnification in § 1983 action required new trial); *Green v. Baron*, 879 F.2d 305, 310 (8th Cir. 1989) (stating that instructions concerning indemnification are extremely prejudicial); *Griffin v. Hilke*, 804 F.2d 1052, 1057 (8th Cir. 1986) (declaring indemnification instructions to constitute reversible error).

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request this Honorable Court enter an Order *in limine* consistent with the relief sought by this Motion.

Dated: April 23, 2009 By: /s Elizabeth A. Ekl

ELIZABETH A. EKL, Attorney No. 06242840 One of the Attorneys for Defendants City of Chicago and Reynaldo Guevara

JAMES G. SOTOS ELIZABETH A. EKL SARA M. CLIFFE CHRISTINA S. WHITE JAMES G. SOTOS & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 550 East Devon Avenue, Suite 150 Itasca, IL 60143-3156 (630) 735-3300 (630) 773-0980 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, under penalties of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 1746, that on **April 23**, **2009**, I electronically filed the foregoing **Defendants' Motion** *in Limine* **to Bar Evidence or Suggestion that Defendant Guevara is Indemnified** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following counsel of record: See Attached Service List.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s Elizabeth A. Ekl

ELIZABETH A. EKL

One of the Attorneys for Defendants City of Chicago and Reynaldo Guevara

JAMES G. SOTOS
ELIZABETH A. EKL
SARA M. CLIFFE
CHRISTINA S. WHITE
JAMES G. SOTOS & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
550 East Devon Avenue, Suite 150
Itasca, IL 60143-3156
(630) 735-3300
(630) 773-0980 (fax)
Attorney No. 06242840
eekl@jsotoslaw.com

SERVICE LIST

Johnson v. Guevara, et al., 05 C 1042

Counsel for Plaintiff Juan Johnson:

Jon I. Loevy Arthur R. Loevy Elizabeth N. Mazur Russell R Ainsworth LOEVY & LOEVY 312 North May Street

Suite 100

Chicago, Illinois 60607

(312) 243-5900

Email: jon@loevy.com

loevy@loevylaw.com elizabethm@loevy.com russell@loevy.com

Thomas G. Gardiner GARDINER KOCH & WEISBERG 53 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 950 Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 362-0000

Email: tgardiner@gkw-law.com

Amanda C Antholt SMITH, JOHNSON & ANTHOLT LLC 112 S. Sangamon Street, Suite 3F

3rd Floor

Chicago, IL 60607 (312) 432-0400

Email: amanda@lawsja.com

Daniel J. Stohr 222 North LaSalle Street

Suite 200

Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 726-1180

Email: djs7@sbcglobal.net

Counsel for Defendant Reynaldo Guevara:

Arlene Esther Martin
Geri Lynn Yanow
Joseph M. Polick
Kathryn M. Doi
CITY OF CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF
LAW

L/ 1 VV

30 North LaSalle Street

Suite 1400

Chicago , IL 60602 (312) 744-6949 Fax: 312.744.6566

Email: amartin@cityofchicago.org

glyanow@cityofchicago.org jpolick@cityofchicago.org kdoi@cityofchicago.org

Counsel for City of Chicago:

Mara Stacy Georges J Ernest Mincy , III Diane S. Cohen

CITY OF CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF

LAW

30 North LaSalle Street

Suite 900

Chicago , IL 60602 (312) 744-9010

Email: <u>mgeorges@cityofchicago.org</u> emincy@cityofchicago.org

dcohen@cityofchicago.org